

Date: 11.1.19 Time: 18h

Test User ID: 4

Feedback Questionnaire (Product Tree)

Please rate your satisfaction with these aspects of the system you have just finished working with, by circling the most appropriate number.

- | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--------------------|
| 1. Ease of navigating to the right part of the tree. | Very easy | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | ① | 2 | 3 | Very hard |
| 2. Responsiveness of the tree when navigating. | Very good | 3 | 2 | ① | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Very poor |
| 3. Ease of reading text. | Very easy | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | ② | 3 | Very hard |
| 4. Graphical design of the application. | Very good | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | ① | 2 | 3 | Very poor |
| 5. Consistency of the application. | Very consistent | 3 | ② | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Very inconsistent |
| 6. Utility of hyperbolic tree for displaying hierarchy data. | Very useful | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | ② | 3 | Completely useless |
| 7. This application cares about my satisfaction as a user. | Very much | 3 | 2 | 1 | ① | 1 | 2 | 3 | Not at all |
| 8. Overall impression of the Product Tree interface. | Very good | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | ① | 2 | 3 | Very bad |
| 9. Would you like product web sites to integrate a hyperbolic browser? | Definitely | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | ③ | Never |

Date: 17.1.19 Time: _____

Test User ID: 4

Feedback Questionnaire (Tree of Life)

Please rate your satisfaction with these aspects of the system you have just finished working with, by circling the most appropriate number.

- | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| 1. Ease of navigating to the right part of the tree. | Very easy | 3 | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Very hard | |
| 2. Responsiveness of the tree when navigating. | Very good | 3 | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Very poor | |
| 3. Ease of reading text. | Very easy | 3 | 2 | 1 | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Very hard |
| 4. Graphical design of the application. | Very good | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | 1 | 2 | 3 | Very poor |
| 5. Consistency of the application. | Very consistent | 3 | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | 1 | 0 | <input type="radio"/> | 2 | 3 | Very inconsistent | |
| 6. Utility of hyperbolic tree for displaying hierarchy data. | Very useful | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | 1 | 2 | 3 | Completely useless |
| 7. This application cares about my satisfaction as a user. | Very much | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | 2 | 3 | Not at all |
| 8. Wikipedia integration into the Tree of Life interface. | Very good | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Very poor | |
| 9. Overall impression of the Tree of Life interface. | Very good | 3 | 2 | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Very bad | |
| 10. Would you like to further explore the Tree of Life later on? | Definitely | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | 2 | 3 | Never |